

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/669,866	Applicant(s) ZYBURA ET AL.	
	Examiner SRIRAMA CHANNAVAJJALA	Art Unit 2166	

All Participants:

(1) SRIRAMA CHANNAVAJJALA.

(2) Christopher J. Culberson Reg. 59,136.

Date of Interview: 10 June 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
Discussed claims 1-9,11,13-19,21-25,29-33

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 11.15 AM

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Srirama Channavajjala/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2166

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

On 10 June 2009, a telephone call was made to applicant's representative Attorney, Christopher J. Culberson, Regd.No. 59,136 about the potential amendment to the claims 1,4,9,11,13,17,19,25,29 and cancelling claims 2-3,5-8,14-16,18,21-24,28,30-33, amendment to specification at page 21-22 in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment.